Minutes of the Meeting of the Screening/ Scrutiny Committee for the Post of Accountant Constituted vide Notification No. NRWM/ADM/Accountant/465/2020-21/1297-1300 Dated 16.06.2020

Reference of advertisement: No. NRWM/ADM/Recruitment/378/Pt-1/2019-20/1137 dated 19.03.2020 (Advertisement No. 01/2020)

The Screening/Scrutiny Committee, Notified against notification No. NRWM/ADM/ Accountant/465/2020-21/1297-1300 Dated 16.06.2020 is held on 29.06.2020 at the Conference Hall of the Administrative Building of NERIWALM.

The following members attended the meeting:

- 1. Dr. Uzzal Mani Hazarika, Associate Professor (WRE) Chairmen
- 2. Shri Subodh Kumar Barman, Accounts Officer

Member

(13.20 33

3. Shri Monoranjan Nath Assistant Professor (Agri.) Member Secretary

Resolution of the Screening/Scrutiny Committee:

The committee screened/ scrutinised all 69 numbers of received application form for the post of Accountant based on the criteria cited at advertise No; 01/2020 and. Out of 69 applications 2 (two) applications are found duplicate submission, hence total applicants are 67 only.

Total 12 applicants out of 67 applicants fulfilled all the criteria of application as per advertisement No; 01/2020 and OM No. NRWM/ADM/Recruitment/378/Pt-1/2019-20/1137 dated 19.03.2020 as listed below in alphabetical order and are recommended to the selection committee for consideration for the post of Accountant.

- 1. Anupam Boruah
- 2. Banajeet Deka
- 3. Bol Bahadur Bishwakarma
- 4. Dibya Jyoti Baruah
- 5. Lupamudra Khanikar
- 6. Noni Gopal Roy
- 7. Partha Pratim Biswas
- 8. Preeti Das
- 9. Rajaunul Ahmed
- 10. Sidhartha Pratim Gogoi
- 11. Suruj Kumar Borah
- 12. Swaroop Kumar Rajak

A separate synopsis is prepared for the recommended 12 applicants and enclosed as Annexure-I.

13 Alur.

Name of the applicants not recommended for to the selection committee for consideration for the post of Accountant are listed below in alphabetical order:

Sl. No.	Name of the Candidates	Remarks
1.	ABHIJIT BORAH	Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.
2.	AFTAB ANSARI	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
3.	AKSHAY MAHANTA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
4.	AKSHAY MAHANTA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
5.	AKULA THYAGARAJU	Received after due date. Not recommended
6.	AMARJEET SENGUPTA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
7.	ANKITA KALITA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
8.	ANURAG HAZARIKA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
9.	ARIC CHRISTOPHER	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
10.	ARNAB JYOTI THAKURIA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
11.	BHUSAN KALITA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
12.	BIRINA THOMAS CHALIHA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
12.	BOL BAHADUR	Received after due date. Not recommended
13.	BISHWAKARMA	
14.	CHANDAN DAS	Not submitted essential documents. Not
17.	CHIMINDIAN DIAD	recommended
15.	CHINMOY BARUAH	Not applied in prescribe format. Not
		recommended.
16.	DIPANKAR NATH	Not qualified due to not having essential
		experience.
17.	DIPANKAR NATH	Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.
17.		Received after due date. Not recommended
19.		Not submitted essential documents. Not
		recommended
20.	GOKUL SHILL	Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.
21.		Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
21.		Lacks requisite experience and un-signed
	Signature)	application. Not recommended
23	HIMADRI DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
	HIMLY BARUAH	Not qualified due to not having essential
24.		experience.
25	HIRAK SAHARIA	Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.
	HITANSHU DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
27.		Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.
28.		Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
29		Lacks requisite experience and over age. Not
29.		recommended
30	. MANAS JYOTI DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
31		Not recommended as essential experience not
51		relevant
32	. MANIK CHANDRA DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
33		Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
34.		Not recommended as not applied in prescribe
		format.
35	. MUKUT DAS	Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.

ch 23 dain.

36.	NISHI SEKHAR	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
37.	PALLAB KUMAR BARUAH	Not submitted essential documents. Not
	New All Manuel Instantiant	recommended
38.	PARTHA SENAPATI	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
39.	PRIYANKA GOGOI	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
40.	RAJARSHI GAUTOM	Not recommended as documents not submitted.
41.	RAJESH KUMAR DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
42.	RANJIT DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
43.	RATUL CHANDRA KALITA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
44.	RITURAJ KALITA	Not applied in prescribe format. Not
		recommended.
45.	SARMISTHA BORGOHAIN	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
46.	SIDDHARTH KUMAR	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
	KALITA	
47.	SIDHARTHA PRATIM	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
	GOGOI	to the filling and the second s
48.	SIDHARTHA PRATIM	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
	GOGOI	
49.	SIDHARTHA SANKAR	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
	BORAH	
50.	SUNAYAN KASHYAP	Lacks requisite experience and over age. Not
And	all showing the set of the set	recommended
51.	SURAJ KUMAR KUSHWA	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
52.	SWEETY DEY	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
53.	SYED ANJUM	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
54.	TARUN DAS	Lacks requisite experience. Not recommended
55.	UTPAL KUMAR PHUKAN	Not fulfilling age criteria. Not recommended.

A separate synopsis is prepared for the not recommended 55 applicants and enclosed as Annexure-II.

(Subodh Kumar Barman) Accounts Officer & Member

Zahi 201 06/2020

(Monoranjan Nath) Assistant Professor (Agri.) & Member

29/06/2020

(Uzzal Mani Hazarika) Associate Professor (WRE) & Chairman

3 0